

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/629,595 | 07/30/2003 | Emmanuelle Moisy | 11016-0017 | 6220 | | |
| 22902 7 | 590 12/23/2005 | 12/23/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| CLARK & BRODY | | REDMAN, JERRY E | | | | |
| 1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | 3634 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applica | tion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/629, | 595 | MOISY ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examin | ər | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Jerry Re | dman | 3634 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRATE OF THE MINISTRATE | AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no sunication. Stutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a | THIS COMMUNICATION CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF | ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[\] | Responsive to communication(s) file | d on 13 December | 2005 | | | | | | |
| | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 December 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| , | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| ٥,۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | , | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | |
| • | 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-20 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| · | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) <u>r-s and s-ze</u> is/are rejected to. | 1. | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) israte objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restrict | tion and/or election | requirement | | | | | | |
| ا ا | are subject to resure | and/or creation | roquiromont. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)[| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any obje | ction to the drawing(s | be held in abeyance. S | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | it(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | | | | | | | | | |
| | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F | | | Date I Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other: | | | | | • | | | | |

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1-3 and 5-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 6-8, it is not readily apparent to the Examiner exactly what the applicant is trying to claim. It appears that the applicant is trying to claim a particular Markush group but it appears to be in an improper form. In claim 5, line 3, the phraseology "a metal strength member" is not readily understood by the Examiner. In claim 15, lines 6-10, it is not readily apparent to the Examiner exactly where and in exactly which parts of the "slideway" the reinforcing means is located. In claim 17, line 2, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the hidden frame type". In claim 18, line 2, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the single-flange type". Exactly what is a "single flange type"? In claim 20, lines 6-10, it is not readily apparent to the Examiner exactly where and in exactly which parts of the "slideway" the reinforcing means is located.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11-13, and 19-20 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weimar (4,542,610). As shown in Figure 7, Weimar ('610) discloses a weatherstrip having a rigid thermo plastic reinforcing clip (4) having a web

Art Unit: 3634

and two connecting jaws and at least one reinforcing means (18) located any where along the reinforcing clip (4) (column 5, lines 19-37). Weimar ('610) further discloses a retaining abutment (51) and an accommodating lip (the bottom portion in Figure 7 and/or the lip 40 as shown in Figure 6).

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 14, and 19 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gopalan et al. (2002/0144466A1). As shown in Figure 13, Gopalan et al. (2002/0144466A1) disclose a weatherstrip having a rigid thermo plastic reinforcing clip (10) formed of polypropylene and having a web and two connecting jaws with at least one planar reinforcing means (43 and/or 60) located along the jaw, a retaining abutment (the ridge portion situated between the jaws).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-13, 15, 16, and 19-20 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guillon (4,970,102). As shown in Figure 4, Guillon ('102) discloses a weatherstrip (40) having a rigid thermo plastic reinforcing clip having a web (54) and two connecting jaws connected thereto, sealing lips 44 and 45) connected to the jaws, an abutment means (52) engaging the flange portions. Guillon ('102) fails to disclose a reinforcing means located in at least one of the jaws. Weimar ('610)

Application/Control Number: 10/629,595

Art Unit: 3634

discloses the use of reinforcing means (18). It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the weatherstrip of Guillon

('102) with a reinforcing means as taught by Weimar ('610) since a reinforcing means

provides extra rigidity to the weatherstrip along portions which need strengthened.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-20 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman

at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Page 4

Primary Examiner